

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,746 03/07/2002		Robert D. Feldman	FELDMAN 11-1-1-2-8	2870
46363	46363 7590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER	
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/ LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE			WANG, QUAN ZHEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702		2633		

2633 DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	(K				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/092,746	FELDMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Quan-Zhen Wang	2633			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tivil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 O	<u>ctober 2005</u> .				
· <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	,—				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 10-12, 16-17, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu (U.S. Patent US 6,423,963 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Wu discloses a method, comprising: reduce the power level of an optical signal (fig. 1, the Raman pump signal 105; fig. 3, the Raman pump signal 315) propagating in an optical fiber path (fig. 1, fiber 114; fig. 3, fiber 302) in response to the absence of a counter-propagating supervisory signal (fig. 1, supervisory signal 112; fig. 3, supervisory signal 335) in the optical fiber path.

Regarding claim 2, Wu further teaches that the step of reducing counterpropagating optical power in response to the absence of the optical signal (column 4, lines 8-60).

Regarding claim 3, Wu further teaches that the step of reducing the power level of the optical signal and the step of reducing counter-propagating optical power are performed substantially at the same time (column 4, lines 8-60).

Regarding claims 6-7, Wu further teaches that the power level of the optical signal is reduced by a predetermined amount such that harm from an optical signal emanating from a fault in the optical transmission line is substantially reduced (column 4, lines 8-60).

Regarding claims 11-12, Wu further teaches the method further comprising: detecting loss of the optical signal (fig. 3, supervisory receiver 322 and decision block 324) propagating in the optical fiber path at a second network element (fig. 3, element 310); and responsive to the loss of the optical signal, reducing counter-propagating optical power (fig. 3, Raman pump signal 315) output from the second network element (fig. 3, element 310) by a predetermined amount, and the steps are performed substantially at the same time (column 7, lines 12-32).

Regarding claim16, Wu discloses a network element (fig. 3, node 310) adapted for use in an optical transmission system, comprising: at least one gain element (fig. 3, Raman pump 316), for providing an optical signal (fig. 3, Raman pump signal 315) to an optical fiber path; and a controller (fig. 3, controller 321), for reducing the power level of an optical signal generated by the at least one gain element to the optical fiber path in response to the absence of a counter-propagating supervisory signal in the optical fiber path (column 7, lines 12-32).

Regarding claim 17, Wu further teaches that the controller (fig. 3, controller 321), in response to the absence of the counter-propagating supervisory signal (fig. 3, supervisory signal 335), provides an indication to a downstream network element that the supervisory signal is absent (column 7, lines 48-67, and column 8, lines 1-3).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 20, Wu discloses a light communication system (fig. 3, system 300) having a plurality of network elements (fig. 3, nodes 310 and 330) for supplying an optical signal adapted for transmission in an optical path, and apparatus for controlling power of an optical signal propagating in the optical fiber path comprising: means for detecting loss of a supervisory signal (fig. 3, supervisory receiver 322) counterpropagating in the optical fiber path; and a first automatic power reduction circuit (fig. 3, Raman pump 316) for reducing the power level of an optical signal output to the optical fiber path form a first network element by a predetermined amount in response to the loss of the supervisory signal in the optical path (column 7, lines 12-32).

Page 4

Regarding claim 21, Wu further teaches means for detecting loss of the optical signal propagating in the optical fiber path; and a second automatic power reduction circuit for reducing counter-propagating optical power output from a second network element by a predetermined amount in response to the loss of the optical signal (column 7, lines 12-32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2633

4. Claims 1, 4-5, 8-10, 13-16, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maddocks et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,483,616 B1) in view of Rowley et al. (U.S. Patent US 4,833,668).

Page 5

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Maddocks teaches a method, comprising: detecting loss (column 2, lines 63-67 and column 3, lines 1-15) of a supervisory signal counterpropagating in an optical fiber path (the drawing, optical fiber 6) at a first network element (the drawing, unit 2) reducing the power level (column 3, lines 7-12) of an optical signal propagating in an optical transmission line (the drawing, optical fiber 5) in response to the absence of a counter-propagating supervisory signal (column 3, lines 6-12). Maddocks differs from the claimed invention in that Maddocks does not specifically teach that the supervisory signal is counter-propagating in the same fiber path. However, it is well known in the art to counter-propagate a supervisory signal in the same fiber path for the signal. For example, Rowley discloses counter-propagating a supervisory signal in the same fiber path (fig. 2, supervisory from second station to first station and detected at first station by supervisory and error detector circuit 16) and carrying out normal fault checks (column 5, lines 27-36) using the supervisory signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to configure the system of Maddocks to counterpropagate a supervisory signal in the same fiber, as it is taught by Rowley, in order to quickly detect the fault if there is a fiber break.

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claims 4, the method of Maddocks inherently comprises reducing pump power supplied by at least one pump source (the light signal generated by amplifier 8) coupled to the optical transmission line (the drawing, optical fiber 7).

Regarding claim 5, the method of Maddocks inherently comprises reducing counter-propagating pump power supplied by at least one pump source coupled to the optical transmission line (column 2, line 67 and column 3, lines 1-12).

Regarding claims 8-9, Maddocks further teaches that the method further comprising the step of restoring the power level of the optical signal in response to the presence or a notification of the presence of the counter-propagating supervisory signal (column 3, lines 49-58).

Regarding claims 13-14, the method of Maddocks inherently comprises reducing pump power supplied by at least one pump source coupled to the optical fiber path in the first network element; reducing counter-propagating optical power comprises reducing counter-propagating pump power supplied by at least one pump source coupled to the optical transmission line (column 3, lines 12-35).

Regarding claim 15, Maddocks further teaches the method further comprising reducing counter-propagating pump power supplied by at least one pump source coupled to the optical fiber path in the second network element (column 3, lines 14-16).

Regarding claim 16, Maddocks teaches a network element adapted for use in an optical transmission system, comprising: at least one gain element (the drawing, amplifier 8), for providing an optical signal to an optical transmission line (the drawing, optical fiber 5); and a controller (the drawing, Laser Control 21), for reducing the power

Application/Control Number: 10/092,746 Page 7

Art Unit: 2633

level of an optical signal generated by the at least one gain element in response to the absence of a counter-propagating supervisory signal (column 3, lines 7-12). Maddocks differs from the claimed invention in that Maddocks does not specifically teach that the supervisory signal is counter-propagating in the same fiber path. However, it is well known in the art to counter-propagating a supervisory signal in the same fiber path for the signal. For example, Rowley discloses counter-propagating a supervisory signal in the same fiber path (fig. 2, supervisory from second station to first station and detected at first station by supervisory and error detector circuit 16) and carrying out normal fault checks (column 5, lines 27-36) using the supervisory signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to configure the system of Maddocks to counter-propagate a supervisory signal in the same fiber, as it is taught by Rowley, in order to quickly detect the fault if there is a fiber break.

Regarding claim 18, Maddocks further teaches that the network element comprises a repeater (column 2, lines 19-24).

Regarding claim 19, Maddocks further teaches that at least one gain element comprises at least one of an optical amplifier and a pump source (the drawing, amplifier 8).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Czarnocha et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,504,630 B1) disclose an

Art Unit: 2633

automatic power shut-down arrangement for optical line system. Yoneyama (U.S.

Patent US 5,535,037) discloses an optical repeater which transmits a response signal

counter-propagating in the fiber path.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quan-Zhen Wang whose telephone number is (571)

272-3114. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM, Monday -

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qzw

11/14/2005

M. R. SEDIGHIAN

Page 8